

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/675,464	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VARRIALE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Thomas M. Lithgow	<b>Art Unit</b> 1724	

**All Participants:**

(1) Thomas M. Lithgow.

(2) Mr. Thomas.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 June 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

112P2

**Claims discussed:**

24

**Prior art documents discussed:**

none

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The newly added word (first) in claim 24 line 9 (...flow to said second first filtering step, ...) is deleted.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



THOMAS M. LITHGOW  
PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)